

THE COLLAPSE OF NEUTRON STARS IN HIGH-MASS BINARIES AS THE ENERGY SOURCE FOR THE GAMMA-RAY BURSTS

BO QIN^{1,2}, XIANG-PING WU¹, MING-CHUNG CHU², LI-ZHI FANG³ AND JING-YAO HU¹

Draft version February 1, 2008

ABSTRACT

The energy source has remained to be the great mystery in understanding of the gamma-ray bursts (GRBs) if the events are placed at cosmological distances as indicated by a number of recent observations. The currently popular models include (1) the merger of two neutron stars or a neutron star and a black hole binary and (2) the hypernova scenario of the collapse of a massive member in a close binary. Since a neutron star will inevitably collapse into a black hole if its mass exceeds the limit $M_{max} \approx 3M_{\odot}$, releasing a total binding gravitational energy of $\sim 10^{54}$ erg, we explore semi-empirically the possibility of attributing the energy source of GRB to the accretion-induced collapse of a neutron star (AICNS) in a massive X-ray binary system consisting of a neutron star and a type O/B companion. This happens because a significant mass flow of $\sim 10^{-3}$ – $10^{-4}M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ may be transferred onto the neutron star through the Roche-lobe overflow and primarily during the spiral-in phase when it plunges into the envelope of the companion, which may eventually lead to the AICNS before the neutron star merges with the core of the companion. In this scenario, a “dirty” fireball with a moderate amount of beaming is naturally expected because of the nonuniformity of the stellar matter surrounding the explosion inside the companion, and a small fraction ($\sim 0.1\%$) of the energy is sufficient to create the observed GRBs. In addition, the bulk of the ejecting matter of the companion star with a relatively slow expansion rate may act as the afterglow. Assuming a non-evolutionary model for galaxies, we estimate that the birthrate of the AICNS events is about 2 per day within a volume to redshift $z = 1$ for an $\Omega_0 = 1$ universe, consistent with the reported GRB rate. It appears that the AICNS scenario, as a result of stellar evolution, may provide a natural explanation for the origin of GRBs and therefore deserves to be further investigated in the theoretical study of GRBs.

Subject headings: gamma-rays: bursts — stars: binaries: close — stars: neutron — stars: supergiants

1. INTRODUCTION

The recent detections of the transient counterparts of GRB 970228 and 970508 at optical/radio/X-ray wavelengths (e.g. van Paradijs et al. 1997; Sahu et al. 1997; Costa et al. 1997; Guarnieri et al. 1997) and the identification of absorption line associated with the optical afterglow of GRB 970508 (Metzger et al. 1997), which places the source of the burst at cosmological distance, have stimulated a number of authors to re-consider the theoretical models of the origin of GRBs. The currently popular model for GRBs is the so-called impulsive “fireball” – a relativistic expanding blastwave with a bulk Lorentz factor of a few hundreds, releasing energy of the order of 10^{51} erg (e.g. Cavallo & Rees 1978; Rees & Mészáros, 1992; Vietri 1997a,b; Waxman 1997). Two proposed mechanisms remain attractive for the energy sources of the fireballs: (1) mergers of double neutron star or neutron star and black hole binaries due to the energy loss of gravitational radiation (Blinnikov et al. 1984; Eichler et al. 1989; Paczyński 1986, 1991, 1992; Rees & Mészáros, 1992; Narayan et al. 1992; Lipunov et al. 1995; Vietri 1997a,b; Sahu et al. 1997; Waxman 1997) and (2) the “hypernovae” – the gravitational collapse of a massive star which can be either a “failed type Ib supernova” (Woosley 1993) or a massive member in a close binary system (Paczynski 1997). In the latter case, an efficient magnetic energy transport is re-

quired to explode the stellar envelope, releasing an energy of $\sim 10^{54}$ erg. Although the explosions result from different physical processes, both models lead to the formation of massive neutron stars or black holes.

It is commonly believed that an isolated neutron star is relatively stable, having a mass less than the limit $M_{max} \approx 3M_{\odot}$. What would happen if there is a stable mass flow onto a neutron star from, for example, its companion in a binary system? When the mass of a neutron star grows up to M_{max} because of the net mass deposition, does the neutron star collapse into a black hole? The extreme circumstance can be the merger of a binary of double neutron stars with a total mass of $> M_{max}$, while the most likely case can appear in a high-mass X-ray binary where the mass transferred by the massive companion to the neutron star may eventually lead the gravitating mass of the neutron star to exceed the maximum value M_{max} . Such a scenario of accretion-induced collapse of neutron stars should be a natural extrapolation of stellar evolution, which is quite similar to the accretion-induced collapse of white dwarfs into neutron stars (Canal et al. 1990 and references therein). The latter was once suggested as a possible source of GRBs (Goodman, Dar, & Nussinov 1987; Dar et al. 1992). If a neutron star collapses into a black hole, the disrupted neutron star will release catastrophically its gravitational binding energy in a very

¹Beijing Astronomical Observatory, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100080, China

²Department of Physics, the Chinese University of Hong Kong, Shatin, N.T., Hong Kong, China

³Department of Physics, University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ 85721

short time, leading to an explosion with energy of $\sim 10^{54}$ erg, namely, the “fireball”. Are the AICNS in high-mass binaries able to act as the energy sources for the GRBs?

The major difference between the hypernova model and the AICNS model is the formation of black holes through the collapse of neutron stars. According to the hypernova mechanism, the hot and rapidly spinning neutron star cools off by neutrino emission to become a stellar black hole (Woosley 1993; Paczyński 1997), whereas in the AICNS scenario the black hole forms because the mass of neutron star increases to the point of dynamical instability (M_{max}). The similarity between the merging model and the AICNS model is that the collapse of a neutron star is caused by the external infalling matter. In a sense, the AICNS as the origin of GRB is somewhat a combination of the merging model and the hypernova model, but seems to be more natural according to our knowledge of stellar evolution. At least, the AICNS is a possible scenario that shouldn’t be overlooked in the study of the energy source for GRBs. Since the emission mechanism of the GRBs remains the same as that in the fireball model, we will discuss the GRB rate and other properties of the AICNS model in this letter. As in other proposed models for GRBs, only a semi-empirical approach is applicable to such an analysis at the present stage.

2. THE AICNS MODEL

We confine ourselves to high-mass X-ray binaries consisting of a neutron stars and a type O or B star companion in order to ensure that the material transferred by the companion to the neutron star enables the neutron star to reach the point of dynamical instability (M_{max}). Although a neutron star can capture a significant fraction of the stellar wind from its companion with a mass transfer rate $\dot{M} = 10^{-6} - 10^{-10} M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ on the stellar evolution time scale, giving rise to the observed X-ray luminosity, such a mass accretion rate is insufficient to disrupt the neutron star within the lifetime of the companion ($\sim 10^6$ yr). A dramatic mass transfer occurs once the companion expands into a giant and thereby reaches its Roche lobe. In particular, the mass of the neutron star M_N may rapidly grow up to M_{max} while it plunges into the envelope of its companion. Eventually, the AICNS may take place before or after the neutron star is engulfed by its companion, depending on when the condition $M_N > M_{max}$ is reached. Yet, the AICNS will not take place if the accreted mass of a neutron star during the spiral-in to the center of its companion does not meet $M_N > M_{max}$, which then results in the formation of a massive neutron star with $1.4 M_{\odot} < M < M_{max}$ instead of a black hole (Verbunt 1993 and references therein).

The kinetic energy of the collapsing matter of a neutron star into a black hole can be estimated roughly by $E \approx (M_{max} c^2 / 2)(1 - R_g / R_N)$, where R_N is the radius of a neutron star with $M = M_{max}$ and $R_g \equiv 2GM_{max}/c^2$ is the corresponding Schwarzschild radius. Taking $M_{max} = 2.7 M_{\odot}$ and $R_N = 13.5$ km (Kippenhahn & Weigert 1990), we obtain $E \approx 1 \times 10^{54}$ erg. This naturally provides the energy needed for the explosion and hence for the generation of the fireball (see, for example, Paczyński 1997). If a small fraction of this energy, say $\sim 10^{51}$ erg, is converted into gamma-ray emission, the AICNS scenario will be in

good agreement with the observed GRB intensity distribution, which shows a standard candle peak luminosity of $\sim 10^{51}$ erg/s.

It is estimated that there are $N_x \sim 50$ massive X-ray binaries in the Galaxy (Meurs & van den Heuvel 1989; Bhattacharya & van den Heuvel 1991) and a significant fraction of them are the neutron star-O/B star systems. Because the AICNS event rate is closely relevant to the lifetime of the O/B stars, which turns out to be $t \approx 5 \times 10^6$ yr for a typical $25 M_{\odot}$ companion, we can then estimate the GRB birthrate roughly by $\dot{N}_{Galaxy} \sim N_x / t \approx 1 \times 10^{-5} L_G^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$, where $L_G \approx 3.6 \times 10^{10} L_{\odot}$ is the bolometric luminosity of the Galaxy. This is comparable to the birthrates of double neutron star binaries and black hole-neutron star binaries in the Galaxy (Narayan et al. 1991). Assuming a non-evolutionary scenario for galaxies and adopting the Schechter luminosity function with $\phi^* = 1.56 \times 10^{-2} h^3 \text{ Mpc}^{-3}$, $\alpha = -1.1$ and $L^* = 7.1 \times 10^9 h^{-2} L_{\odot}$ (Fukugita & Turner 1991 and references therein), we find the total luminosity of the universe within redshift $z = 1$ for a cosmological model of $\Omega_0 = 1$ to be $L_{total} = 2.5 \times 10^{18} h^{-2} L_{\odot}$, where h is the Hubble constant in unit of 100 km/s/Mpc. Finally, the expected GRB event rate within a volume to $z = 1$ is $\dot{N}_{GRB} = \dot{N}_{Galaxy} L_{total} \sim 700 \text{ yr}^{-1}$, i.e., about two events per day. Such a rate, though rather uncertain, is consistent with that recorded by the current detectors (e.g., Meegan et al. 1996).

3. FURTHER REMARKS

At least $\sim M_{\odot}$ mass of its companion star should be accreted onto the neutron star during the spiral-in phase in order to trigger the AICNS. This includes the final merger of the neutron star with the core of the massive companion star if the AICNS does not take place before it reaches the core. It appears that an average net mass accretion rate of $10^{-3} - 10^{-4} M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ is required for the neutron star provided that the spiral-in takes about $10^3 - 10^4$ years (Meurs & van den Heuvel 1989). A simple computation based on the powder approximation (Lipunov 1992) shows that such a mass accretion rate is indeed possible for a neutron star with mass $M \sim M_{\odot}$ moving with a velocity v through the medium of mass density ρ : $\dot{M} \sim \pi(2GM_{\odot})^2 \rho / v^3 \sim 5 \times 10^{-3} (v/10^4 \text{ km/s})^{-3} (\rho/10^{-3} \rho_{\odot})$, where the average medium density is estimated using a giant star with mass $30 M_{\odot}$ and radius $30 R_{\odot}$, the velocity v corresponds approximately to the orbital velocity of the neutron star during the spiral-in, and $\rho_{\odot} = 1.4 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$ is the mean mass density of the Sun. Apparently, our required mass accretion rate exceeds the Eddington limit of $1 \times 10^{-8} M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ for a neutron star (Taam, Bodenheimer, & Ostriker 1978; Delgado 1980). This can only be overcome when neutrino emission is taken into account. Indeed, the rapid neutrino cooling may allow mass accretion to reach a very high rate onto the neutron star. Chevalier (1989) and Houck & Chevalier (1991) have found that for steady spherical models the neutron star may have an accretion rate of $\dot{M} \geq 10^{-3} M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$. Chevalier (1993) showed that a strong accretion is possible for neutron star spiral-in through the massive envelope because of neutrino emission, which can bring an initial $1.4 M_{\odot}$ neutron star to a massive object of $> 2 M_{\odot}$ so that a black hole may eventually form.

Although these estimates are encouraging, the evolu-

tion of high-mass X-ray binaries is complicated and not well understood. Terman, Taam, & Hernquist (1995) have demonstrated that for a wide range of orbital periods and companion masses, the spiral-in is rapid and the common envelope can be ejected by the interaction of the neutron star with its red supergiant companion, resulting in a neutron star-helium core binary, not a black hole. However, for a sufficiently short orbital period of $P < P_{crit}$, where P_{crit} is the critical orbital period of the progenitor binary ranging from 0.2 yr to 2 yr for companion masses $12M_{\odot}$ – $24M_{\odot}$, Terman et al. (1995) showed that the system would eventually merge to form a red supergiant with a neutron star core, namely, a massive Thorne-Zytkow Object (TZO) (Thorne & Zytkow 1977).

Whether AICNS will eventually occur in a TZO is unclear. The evolution of massive TZOs was addressed by Podsiadlowski, Cannon, & Rees (1995), who found that stellar-mass black holes are likely to form as one of the three endpoints of TZOs. A similar conclusion was reached by Fryer, Benz, & Herant (1996), who argued, based on a numerical study of rapid neutron star accretion, that TZOs may lead to the super-Eddington accretion onto neutron stars and the formation of black holes. Podsiadlowski et al. (1995) also estimated a TZO birthrate of $\geq 10^{-4} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ in the Galaxy, which is not significantly different from that required for GRBs if we notice the fact that a number of TZOs may survive during the spiral-in phase and will not give rise to AICNS (Bhattacharya & van den Heuvel 1991). However, Podsiadlowski et al. (1995) pointed out that the rapid accretion model of Chevalier (1993) assumes spherical symmetry, which is unlikely, and a more realistic calculation might rule out AICNS in TZO.

Taking these arguments as a whole, we feel that much work will be needed to understand the evolutionary scenario of high-mass X-ray binaries and to improve our naive model of AICNS proposed in the present letter.

The fate of a neutron star as a result of collapse is the formation of a stellar black hole. The binary system may still survive if the AICNS occurs before it merges with the core of its companion and if the newly born black hole and the core (probably a newly born neutron star) remain gravitationally bound. It is also possible that an isolated black hole is left if the explosion expels all the substance from the companion. A birthrate of $\sim 10^{-5} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ in the Galaxy for the AICNS would result in about 10^5 stellar black holes, including the black hole-neutron star binaries. This number is comparable to the estimate of the population of double neutron star and black hole-neutron star binaries in the Galaxy by Narayan et al. (1991).

As in the hypernova model (Paczynski 1997), the AICNS in a massive binary will lead to a “dirty” fireball since the explosion is most likely to take place inside the

gas envelope of the companion star, where matter ejection following the explosion is non-spherical if the AICNS does not occur at the center of the companion. Consequently, in contrast to the hypernova model, here a non-spherical expansion appears to be a natural result, which may eventually accelerate a small fraction of matter to a very large Lorentz factor, creating the GRBs. Since the bulk of the ejecting matter in the companion star moves slowly, the afterglow may show up for a relatively long time after the observation of the GRB. It appears that the two arguments raised explicitly by Vietri (1997b) can be easily understood in the framework of the AICNS scenario: (1) A nonuniform external medium surrounding the explosion is needed to explain the existence of a delay between the optical luminosity maximum and the GRB; and (2) the energy source powering the GRB should be the same as that of the afterglow.

4. CONCLUSIONS

In a high-mass X-ray binary system, a significant mass accretion onto the neutron star may take place in the later evolutionary phase of the O/B companion star. If the mass of a neutron star exceeds the limit $M_{max} \approx 3M_{\odot}$, the neutron star will inevitably collapse into a black hole. Such an AICNS scenario can be regarded as the natural energy source for GRB, releasing a total binding gravitational energy of $\sim 10^{54}$ erg. Because the explosion is non-spherical inside the companion star, a moderate amount of beaming is naturally expected and a small fraction ($\sim 0.1\%$) of the energy will be sufficient to account for the GRB. In particular, the bulk of the ejecting matter of the companion star may give rise to the afterglow. It is estimated that the AICNS event rate within redshift $z = 1$ is about 2 per day, in accord with the currently observed rate of GRBs. As compared with other popular models for the energy sources of GRBs, namely, the merger of double neutron stars or a neutron star and a black hole binary and the hypernovae, the AICNS in a massive binary appears to be more reasonable according to the stellar evolutionary scenario, and accounts naturally for a number of observed features of GRBs. It is pointed out that the AICNS model, though very schematic at the present stage, may deserve to be considered seriously in the theoretical study of the origin of the GRBs. In particular, one needs to understand the detailed mechanism of how a significant amount of matter is accreted onto the surface of a neutron star.

We thank an anonymous referee for valuable comments and suggestions. This work was supported by the National Science Foundation of China, the RGC Earmarked Grant CUHK4189/97P and a CUHK direct grant 702/1.

REFERENCES

- Bhattacharya, D., & van den Heuvel, E. P. J. 1991, *Physics Reports*, 203, 1
- Blinnikov, S. I., Novikov, I. D., Perevodchikova, T. V., & Polnarev, A. G. 1984, *Soviet Astron. Lett.*, 10, 177
- Canal, R., Isern, J., & Labay, J. 1990, *ARA&A*, 28, 183
- Cavallo, G., & Rees, M. J. 1978, *MNRAS*, 183, 359
- Chevalier, R. A. 1989, *ApJ*, 346, 847
- Chevalier, R. A. 1993, *ApJ*, 411, L33
- Costa, E. et al. 1997, *Nature*, 387, 783
- Dar, A., Kozlovsky, B.Z., Nussinov, S., & Ramaty, R. 1992, *ApJ*, 388, 164
- Delgado, A. J. 1980, *A&A*, 87, 343
- Eichler, D., Livio, M., Piran, T., & Schramm, D. 1989, *Nature*, 340, 126
- Fryer, C. L., Benz, W., & Herant, M. 1996, *ApJ*, 460, 801
- Fukugita, M., & Turner, E. L. 1991, *MNRAS*, 253, 99
- Goodman, J., Dar, A., & Nussinov, S. 1987, *ApJ*, 314, L7
- Guarnieri, A. et al. 1997, preprint astro-ph/9707164
- Houck, J. C., & Chevalier, R. A. 1991, *ApJ*, 376, 234
- Kippenhahn, R., & Weigert, A. 1990, *Stellar Structure and Evolution* (Springer-Verlag:Berlin)

- Lipunov, V. M. 1992, *Astrophysics of Neutron Stars* (Springer-Verlag:Berlin)
- Lipunov, V. M., Postnov, K. A., Prokhorov, M. E., & Panchenko, I. E. 1995, *ApJ*, 454, 593
- Meegan, C. A. et al. 1996, *ApJS*, 106, 65
- Metzger, M. R. et al. 1997, *IAU Circular No. 6655*
- Meurs, E. J. A., & van den Heuvel, E. P. J. 1989, *A&A*, 226, 88
- Narayan, R., Paczyński, B., & Piran, T. 1992, *ApJ*, 395, L83
- Narayan, R., Piran, T., & Shemi A. 1991, *ApJ*, 379, L17
- Paczynski, B. 1986, *ApJ*, 308, L43
- Paczynski, B.. 1991, *Acta Astron.*, 41, 257
- Paczynski, B. 1992, *Nature*, 355, 521
- Paczynski, B. 1997, *ApJ*, submitted (astro-ph/9706232)
- Podsiadlowski, P., Cannon, R. C., & Rees, M. J. 1995, *MNRAS*, 274, 485
- Rees, M. J., & Mészáros, P. 1992, *MNRAS*, 258, 41P
- Sahu, K. C., et al. 1997, *Nature*, 387, 476
- Taam, R. E., Bodenheimer, P., & Ostriker, J. P. 1978, *ApJ*, 222, 269
- Terman, J. L., Taam, R. E., & Hernquist, L. 1995, *ApJ*, 445, 367
- Thorne, K. S., & Zytlow, A. N. 1977, *ApJ*, 212, 832
- van Paradijs, J. et al. 1997, *Nature*, 386, 686
- Verbunt, F. 1993, *ARA&A*, 31, 93
- Vietri, M. 1997a, *ApJ*, 478, L9
- Vietri, M. 1997b, *ApJ*, submitted (astro-ph/9706060)
- Waxman, E., 1997, *ApJ*, 485, L5
- Woosley, S. E. 1993, *ApJ*, 405, 273